On reducing harm, improving democratic expression on the internet

In today’s digital world, it is relatively easy for governments and corporate interests to suppress social dissent and peaceful activism simply by controlling the flow of information and censoring its social media platforms. This represents one of the central paradoxes and challenges of our times.

Today, anyone can own a corner of the internet. More people than ever can engage in public discussions and express their views from their smartphones, computers and televisions. There will have to be a functioning mechanism— an e-tribunal—to determine its role for a publicly accountable system.
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The CCDE based its work on the generally accepted principle that free digital technologies function, including data harvesting, and issues of liability’ for Liberals, say some political insiders. It recognizes “the complexity of the issues at play and the need to tread very carefully in these areas.”

Civil society is highly concerned about new measures that will introduce levels of internet governance beyond the exclusive preserve of private sector companies.

In its own work with communities in the global South whose governments are adept at controlling the flow of information and censoring its social media platforms, the World Association for Christian Communication has noted similar concerns.

There is no doubt Canadians are troubled by the situation and are looking for action,” said the commission, noting a Ryerson University survey that found 41% of Canadians want a federal law to address the problem of online hate.
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